

**HEALTH AND WELLBEING BOARD MEASURES OF SUCCESS: ANNUAL REVIEW**

Author: Vicky Head, Director of Public Health

**Purpose of Report:**

- To give an overview of all the indices of health in MK
- To highlight any outcome measures that are worsening or remaining poor.
- To update the Board on what is being done to improve these outcomes.

**1. Background**

- 1.1 A set of important measures of health and wellbeing for MK have been agreed. Baseline information on these was provided to the Board in September 2018. The full set of measures are set out in the attached **Annex**.
- 1.2 The full set of measures are reported once per year (typically in June). Measures are RAG rated based either on comparison to national targets where they exist (i.e. immunisation) or relative to comparison local authorities.
- 1.3 Comparison local authorities are those local authorities with a similar level of deprivation.
- 1.4 For some measures no RAG rating has been possible. This is because there is no national target and there is insufficient data for the comparison local authorities, if for example there have been boundary changes or IMD updates.
- 1.5 The impact of COVID-19 on these measures is not visible. All of the data predates COVID-19.

**2. Change in IMD (Deprivation) Decile for Milton Keynes**

- 2.1 In recent years the overall deprivation for Milton Keynes, in comparison to other areas, has reduced very slightly. Milton Keynes has moved down one place in the overall ranking.
- 2.2 The effect of this slight change is to move Milton Keynes into a different group of comparison local authorities.
- 2.3 Milton Keynes was ranked in fourth least deprived decile (as the most affluent Local Authority in this group), based on 2015 data. Milton Keynes is now ranked in the third least deprived decile (as the most deprived Local Authority in this group). In other words, Milton Keynes is now being compared to a less deprived (more affluent) set of local authorities.

2.4 As this is the first report where this change has happened, we have additionally included the RAG measures for the different measures of success for last year.

2.5 The effect of changing the comparison local authorities might be expected to make the relative performance (RAG) rating look worse. However, overall, there is relatively limited impact from this change in comparison; performance on three measures looks worse and performance on four measures looks better.

### **3. Measures RAG rated Red**

3.1 The following measures of success are RAG rated red:

- smoking status at time of delivery;
- learning disabilities (% eligible with an annual health check);
- smoking attributable admissions in people aged 35 years and over;
- emergency admissions due to falls in people aged 65 years and over;
- flu vaccination coverage in people aged 65 years and over; and
- percentage dying in usual place of residence for people with dementia aged 65 years and over.

### **4. Recommendations**

4.1 That the Health and Wellbeing Board note the measures, and support the work being done to address areas that need improvement.