



**SaferMK Partnership
Discussion and Decision Report**

Subject: Peer Group Intelligence Meeting

**Report Author: Colin Wilderspin (Head of Community Safety), Tel:
(01908) 254533**

1. **Purpose of report – Please mark (X) the boxes that are relevant to the report**

SAFERMK PRIORITIES

- | | |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| Improving road safety by reducing the number of accidents and the severity of the collisions to individuals. | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Reducing the number of violent incidences | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| Tackling and enforcing substance misuse | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| Enhance community engagement to reduce fear of crime | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |

OTHER STATUTORY RESPONSIBILITIES

- | | | | |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|----------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| Anti-Social Behaviour | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | Reducing Reoffending | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| Prevent | <input type="checkbox"/> | Police and Crime Commissioner Priority | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| An item under Section 17 of the Crime and Disorder Act 1998 that supports the delivery of crime reduction and addressing community safety concerns (please state below) | | | <input type="checkbox"/> |

1.1 Brief introduction

1.2 At the SaferMK Partnership meeting in April 2016 it was agreed to support a review of the current status of organised criminal activity in Milton Keynes.

1.3 On the 21st April 2016 a review took place involving over 80 professionals across a range of sectors, a subsequent report was drafted outlining the findings from the day review.

2. Recommendations

- 2.1 That the presentation on a summary of the Local Area Profile report and its findings be noted.
- 2.2 That the recommendations within the report be adopted and the development of an action plan be agreed

3. Comments/Recommendations from the Scrutiny Management Group

- 3.1 There are no specific comments in relation this report. However it is a statutory obligation for the Committee to hold the activities and performance of the Partnership to account.

4. Background

There have been a number of work streams in recent years including a review of the emergence of gangs in a report to SaferMK in June 2014.

5. Implications to SaferMK Partnership

- 5.1 The emerging concerns of a rise in criminal activity associated with gangs has increased in Milton Keynes. SaferMK needs to explore a suitable response to ensure it meets its statutory responsibilities. Therefore a need for a robust partnership response is key.

6. Other considerations / Key Partnership Involvement

- 6.1 With a range of agencies working in Milton Keynes towards reducing crime other community safety issues, it is important that attendance and the delivery of the PGIM is supported by wider partners.
- 6.2 The Partnership needs to be aware of its impact on other Partnerships and local organisations in the work of the PGIM and ensure that the process is collaborative with other processes.

7. Attachments/Background Papers

- 7.1 Milton Keynes Local Area Profile Report