

**Questions asked of Parties to the Hearing**

**Review Applicant: Immigration Enforcement**

1. Have Immigration Enforcement revisited the premises since 7 December 2019 as detailed on Page 4 of the application and what was the outcome?
2. Please provide information on the 'prior warnings' given as detailed at paragraph 1.8 of the application. It is not clear to what these refer to.
3. The premises licence holder has produced in evidence a notice of no action against him (copy enclosed). The Council recognises that 'no action' does not mean 'no wrong doing' but it would be useful to know if the notice concerned relates to matters on December 7th 2019 or some other event. If it is for some other event, please provide details.
4. Is the notice of no action provided by the licence holder genuine?
5. Please confirm if the names on the notice (copy enclosed) match those redacted in the review application.
6. Please can you clarify paragraph 2.1 of the application in terms of 'three separate occasions'. This implies there is a previous history of concern.
7. Please confirm the outcome of the three persons detained. It would be useful for the Licensing Authority to know if the detentions were upheld or if following further enquiries the persons concerned were found to have a legitimate right to be in the UK after all.

**Premises licence Holder: Mr Rahman**

1. Your relationship to the three individuals arrested on the night of 7 December 2019.
2. How long you have been working at The Don.
3. Clarification of your role at The Don in the time you have been there.
4. Who is responsible for The Don as a business? Is it a company? Is it individuals, and who are they.

5. Who in your opinion has the overriding responsibility for licensable activities at the venue
6. Whilst you are the DPS who should hold the premises licence at The Don in your opinion
7. Are you the person supervising alcohol sales day to day?
8. Why did you initially decline entry to Immigration officers on December 7th 2019 as detailed in paragraph 1.15 of the review application.
9. Who is the food business operator at the premises?
10. Paragraph 1.45 of the review application suggests one of the people arrested was staying at your house. Is this correct?
11. Please confirm if paragraph 1.53 of the review application is referring to you.
12. Please confirm if the notice of no action you have provided to the Council relates to the three individuals detained on December 7th 2019
13. As manager of the Don what checks have you undertaken on the immigration status of persons in the kitchen? What proof can you provide of this?
14. You appeared to be paying persons yourself either as a friend or otherwise. Is this correct?
15. What proof can you provide that workers had been declared for tax purposes?
16. Why do you keep no employment records?
17. Who is the employer at The Don?

**Responsible Authority: Thames Valley Police**

1. Have Thames Valley Police undertaken any licensing checks at the premises and what was the outcome?
2. Do you have any history of dealing with the premises licence holder so as to confirm how long he has been involved at the premises?
3. Are you aware of any history of offences committed by the licence holder and if so what are they?

**Responsible Authority: Licensing Authority**

1. Please provide a list of the questions you refer to in your representation if they have not been answered satisfactorily by Mr Rahman 5 working days before the hearing (so the committee can understand what licensing matters are outstanding)
2. If the food hygiene related conditions you have proposed are a duplication of an existing legal requirement (under Food Safety legislation).