

Punch Taverns  
c/o TLT Solicitors

**Duncan Sharkey**  
Corporate Director for Place

**Neil Allen**  
Head of Regulatory Services

By email

**Our Ref:** M142723 SR543646

**Your Ref:**

**Reply To:** Ed Fisher

**E-Mail:** eddie.fisher@milton-keynes.gov.uk

24 February 2017

**Direct Line:** 01908 252409

**Helpline:** 01908 252800

Dear Rachel,

**Section 33 Licensing Act 2003: duty to notify change of address to Designated Premises Supervisor  
Variation to Premises Licence at the Crauford Arms, Milton Keynes**

Following our ongoing discussions on this case I inspected the premises for compliance with the premises licence on Tuesday morning and during the evening of Thursday of this week.

In that regard you need to submit an application to update Mr Halls' residential address as premises supervisor. I have notified him separately of his responsibilities under Section 127 of the Licensing Act as a personal licence holder. It would be prudent to get this matter resolved as part of the ongoing variation application.

In terms of the inspection I confirm that the condition 3.15 needs updating to list complainants name; the person recording the complaint; and the time a complaint was made as well as when action was taken. Please confirm this has been done

Whilst on site on Thursday evening Regulated entertainment was in progress in the function room and was audible to the side of 57 Stratford Road and also to the rear alleyway at the back of houses on Church Street (where incidentally it was loudest).

I have considered if this is a breach of condition 2.13 of the licence but don't believe an inaudibility condition is enforceable although the noise limiter element must stand. Nevertheless that fact that music (or rather bass) can be heard outside no 57 in particular should act as a warning to your client regarding levels. The variation application you seek will allow entertainment in the bar area closest to no 57 and I anticipate that the noise limiter will need to be set to a low level to ensure these residents are not disturbed.

What might be considered an acceptable level at 11pm to residents is unlikely to be adequate at 2am in the morning when background noise levels drop and people are also



more likely to be trying to sleep. It is easier to be disturbed in the early hours of the morning even if the entertainment levels haven't changed.

I am not making a representation on the matter at this stage but if I receive additional information between now and the closing date I will re-examine my position.

Yours sincerely

**Ed Fisher**  
**Licensing Officer**