



Notes from Group discussion at SaferMK Partnership Meeting - 20 April 2017

How is the Partnership working?

- Importance of securing correct membership (organisations and individuals)
- Problem of achieving aims within context of limited resources
- Conversations occur which don't happen elsewhere
- Can be responsive and flexible to emerging trends
- Consensus that better communication is needed:
 - Lots of work undertaken but not necessarily published
 - Thread between strategy and operational work can seem a bit abstract (needs to be communication explicitly)
- Potential complacency because crime in MK is fairly low
- General lack of understanding of the Partnership
- Priorities might not be the same for each organisation
- Objectives unclear
- Are we prioritising and focussing on top 5 objectives?
- The role of various organisations
- Communication is not always clear
- General recognition that SaferMK is not delivering concrete outcomes (some issues are core business or lose priority status)
- Members have no capacity to commit to any activity which does not deliver value for them.
- How each organisation is held to account

How does the Partnership help your organisation?

- Positive aspects of joint working e.g. Op Delegation/Granby
- Link to other community safety partnerships
- Partnership can help drive through initiatives
- Not clear at this point. Feeling that TFG's work better than formal strategies.

How can your organisation help the Partnership?

- TVP new structure should improve joint working
- Understand the agenda and communicate it effectively
- Support one another, attend meetings
- Be clear about individual priorities
- Improved data collection
- Consistency and sharing information
- A more flexible model with Strategic Responses met by a larger group and then sub-groups for fears on specific issues could work better.